PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				FILE COPY	
To: BRIAN KINNEAR HOLLAND & HART 555 17TH STREET, SUITE 3200		PCT			
DENVER, CO 80201		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	22 SEP 2006	
Applicant's or agent's file refe	erence		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
35693.8318 International application No. International filing date		, -,			
PCT/US05/20867					
International Patent Classifica	ition (IPC) o	14 June 2005 (14.06.200 or both national classificat		23 August 2004 (23.08.2004)	
IPC: A41D 1/08(2006.01 USPC: 2/267,228,466	1)				
Applicant					
DASHAMERICA INC					
I. This opinion contains ind	ications rela	ting to the following item	s:		
Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion			
Box No. II	Priority				
Box No. III 1	Non-establis	hment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV I	Lack of unity	y of invention			
		tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .; citations and explanation		o novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement	
Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited			
Box No. VII (Certain defec	cts in the international app	lication		
Box No. VIII (Certain obser	rvations on the internation	al application		
2. FURTHER ACTION					
International Preliminary	Examining one to be th	Authority ("IPEA") ex ne IPEA and the chosen I	ept that this does PEA has notified th	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an le International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) ered.	
	ther, where	appropriate, with amendr	nents, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	
For further options, see Fo	orm PCT/ISA	A/220.			
3. For further details, see not	tes to Form F	PCT/ISA/220.		•	
Name and mailing address of t		Date of complet	ion of this opinion	Authorized officer 21/1/	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IS Commissioner for Pater	SA/US	14 August 2006		Wall How Bell-Ham	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22	313-1450			Telephone No. (57.1)272-4985	

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International		-
PCT/US05/208	67	

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	Intrinsied subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of source.
3. 🗌	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	onal comments:
	N ₁ and
	,
	*

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No PCT/US05/20867

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(1) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 4-9, 12-15, 17-24, 26-35, 37, 39-47 and 49-54 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Forsyth et al. (US 6,565,702).

Forsyth et al. teaches a chamois comprising a first cloth layer being relatively elastic and having a gament feling side. A second cloth liver the second cloth layer being relatively clastic and having a body facing side. A first form layer coupled to the first cloth layer opposite the garment facing side and located between the first cloth layer and the second cloth layer. The first faul new being relatively elastic such that the chamois stretches. At least one form pad being coupled to the first form layer and being relatively inelastic and arranged between the first foam layer and the second cloth layer such that a portion of the chamois is relatively inelastic and a portion of the chamois is relatively inelastic see flagures 15-20).

Further, Forsyth teaches the second cloth layer comprises at least one relatively inelastic portion. The at least one relatively inelastic portion being substantially aligned with the at least one found and, such that the second cloth layer may stretch in multiple direction by the at least one inelastic portion of the second cloth layer inhibits stretching in the portion. The first cloth layer comprises at least one relatively infeastic portion and is substantially aligned with the at least one for layer in first cloth layer may stretch in multiple direction but the at least one in layer inhibits stretching in that portion (figures 15-20: column 9, lines 8-01).

The at least one foam pad comprises a plurality of foam pads of multiple density foam. At least one foam pad comprises a first density and the first foam layer comprises a second density less than the first density. The first cloth layer, the second cloth layer, the first foam layer and the at least one foam pad are flexible (figures 6-8).

Additionally, Forsyth et al. teaches the first foam layer having at least one through hole and the at least one foam pad being sized to fit in the at least one through hole and coupled to the first foam layer in the at least one through hole. The relatively inelastic material comprises a synthetic leather (figures 15-20).

Claims 2, 3, 16 and 25 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Forsyth et al. in view of Sessoms (US 5,388,271).

Forsyth et al. teaches a padded cycling chamois as desired above in claims 1, 13 and 20. However, Forsyth et al. fails to teach an anti-microbial material in the second cloth.

Sessoms teaches a padded athletic garment having an outer garment layer made from an anti-microbial material (column 3,

Sessoms teaches a padded athletic garment having an outer garment layer made from an anti-microbial material (column 3 lines 43-68).

It would have been obvious to have provided the padded cycling chamois of Forsyth et al. with the anti-microbial outer garment material of Sessoms, since the padded cycling chamois of Forsyth et al. provided with an anti-microbial outer garment material would provide a garment with padded for athletic purposes that prevents bacteria growth.

Claims 10, 11, 36, 38 and 48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a second foam layer being coupled to the second cloth layer opposite the body facing side and located between the at least one foam pad and the second cloth layer.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Internatio. -lies
PCT/USOS/90867

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the	he preceding boxes is not sufficien	at.		
V.1. Reasoned Statements:				
The oninion as to Novelty was	positive (Yes) with respect to claim	ns 2, 3, 10, 11, 16, 25, 36, 38 and 48		
The opinion as to Inventive St	ep was positive (Yes) with respect to clair	ns 1, 4-9, 12-15, 17-24, 26-35, 37, 39-47 and 49 o claims 10, 11, 36, 38 and 48	-54	
The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1, 4-9, 12-15, 17-24, 26-35, 37, 39-47 and 49-54 The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 10, 11, 36, 38 and 48 The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 10, 11, 36, 38 and 48 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-9, 12-35, 37, 39-47 and 49-54 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-54				
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit pplicability was negative(NO) with	h respect to claims 1-54		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A. The opinion as to Industrial A.	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A. The opinion as to Industrial A.	pplicability was positive (YES) wit	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A. The opinion as to Industrial A.	pplicability was positive (YES) wit pplicability was negative(NO) with	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		
The opinion as to Industrial A. The opinion as to Industrial A.	pplicability was positive (YES) wit pplicability was negative(NO) with	h respect to claims 1-54 respect to claims NONE		